

© Springer-Verlag 1993

Approximation on a disk II beliefed # 50 st gam and reblemoo

A.G. O'Farrell 1, * and P.J. de Paepe 2

Department of Mathematics, Maynooth College, Co. Kildare, Ireland

² Faculteit Wiskunde en Informatica, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Plantage Muidergracht 24, 1018 TV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Received September 17, 1991; in final form January 6, 1992

will be convenient to write the function G in the form

1 An approximation result

This paper is a continuation of [P]. The main result of [P] is that there are functions G defined in a neighborhood of the origin in the complex plane, which behave in a sense as \bar{z}^2 , such that G together with z^2 separates the points of (small) disks D around the origin, and such that the function algebra $[z^2, G; D]$ on D is not the same as the algebra C(D) of all continuous functions on D. In this paper we show that the other possibility also can occur: for a large class of functions G defined in a neighborhood of the origin we show $[z^2, G; D] = C(D)$ for sufficiently small disks D around D. We will adopt notation from [P]. In the following it

$$G(z) = \bar{z}^2 (1 + g(z))^2$$
.

We like to mention that Pascal Thomas, independently from us and at the same time, worked out a special case of our main result, i.e. the case g(z) = z, [T].

Theorem. Let g be defined in a neighborhood of the origin in the complex plane, of class C^1 , with g(0) = 0, and such that $|g_z(0)| > |g_{\overline{z}}(0)|$. Then $[z^2, \overline{z}^2(1+g(z))^2; D] = C(D)$ for sufficiently small disks D centered at the origin.

Proof. Let $a = g_z(0)$ and $b = g_{\overline{z}}(0)$. By the change of coordinate z = iw/a we may and will assume without loss of generality that a = i and |b| < 1. Since the first order partial derivatives of g are continuous near 0, Taylor's formula can be applied to $\operatorname{Re} g$ and $\operatorname{Im} g$ to obtain that if ε is a number with $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - |b|$ the function

$$r(z) = g(z) - iz - b\bar{z}$$

^{*} Supported by EOLAS grant SC90/070

satisfies the inequality

$$|r(z)| \le \varepsilon |z|$$

for all z in a sufficiently small disk D around 0. Note also that the generators of the algebra separate the points of sufficiently small disks D.

We now follow the proof of Theorem 1 in [P].

Define
$$X = \{(z^2, \bar{z}^2(1 + g(z))^2): z \in D\}$$
.

Consider the map $\Pi: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$, defined by

$$\Pi(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = (\zeta_1^2, \zeta_2^2)$$
.

Then
$$\Pi^{-1}(X) = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4$$
 with
$$X_1 = \{(z, \bar{z}(1+g(z))): z \in D\}$$

$$X_2 = \{(-z, -\bar{z}(1+g(z))): z \in D\} = \{(z, \bar{z}(1+g(-z))): z \in D\}$$

$$X_3 = \{(-z, \bar{z}(1+g(z))): z \in D\}$$

$$X_4 = \{(z, -\bar{z}(1+g(z))): z \in D\} = \{(-z, \bar{z}(1+g(-z))): z \in D\}.$$

By Wermer's theorem it follows that the sets X_i are polynomially convex. Now Kallin's theorem is also valid if the two angular sectors are replaced by $S_+ = \{\operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0\} \cup \{0\}$ and $S_- = \{\operatorname{Im} \lambda < 0\} \cup \{0\}$ (see reference [9] of [P]). With $p(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2$ we notice that for z in D:

$$p(z, \bar{z}(1+g(z))) = z + \bar{z} + \bar{z}g(z) = 2\operatorname{Re} z + i|z|^2 + b\bar{z}^2 + \bar{z}r(z)$$

where $|\bar{z}r(z)| \leq \varepsilon |z|^2$.

It follows that $p(z, \bar{z}(1+g(z))) \in S_+$ so $p(X_1) \subset S_+$. In a similar way one shows that $p(X_2) \subset S_-$. Since $p^{-1}(0) \cap (X_1 \cup X_2)$ contains only the origin in \mathbb{C}^2 we can apply Kallin's theorem and conclude that $X_1 \cup X_2$ is polynomially convex.

Using the polynomial $p(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = -\zeta_1 + \zeta_2$ one shows similarly that $X_3 \cup X_4$

is polynomially convex.

We apply Kallin's theorem for the third time, now with $p(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \zeta_1 \zeta_2$. Since $p(X_1 \cup X_2)$ is contained in an angular sector near the positive real axis and $p(X_3 \cup X_4)$ in an angular sector near the negative real axis, it follows that $\Pi^{-1}(X) = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4$ is polynomially convex. By Sibony's theorem and the O'Farrell-Preskenis-Walsh result we conclude as in in the proof of Theorem 1 in [P] that P(X) = C(X). This is equivalent to

$$[z^2, \bar{z}^2(1+g(z))^2; D] = C(D)$$
.

2 Examples

Suppose g is of class C^1 and both $g_z(0)$ and $g_{\overline{z}}(0)$ are equal to 0. It can happen that the algebra $[z^2, \overline{z}^2(1+g(z))^2; D]$ is unequal to C(D) and it is also possible that this algebra is equal to the algebra C(D).

(1) In [P] it is shown that $[z^2, \bar{z}^2(1+\bar{z}^3)^{-2/3}; D] \neq C(D)$ for (sufficiently small)

(2) Let f be a real-valued function of class C^1 , defined in a neighborhood of 0, such that f is even, and such that f(0) = 0, f(z) > 0 if $z \neq 0$. The functions z^2 and $\bar{z}^2(1+izf(z))^2$ separate the points of (small) disks

D around 0, and as in the proof of the theorem above we find $[z^2, \bar{z}^2(1+izf(z))^2; D] = C(D).$ (3) Also $[z^2, \bar{z}^2(1+iz^3)^2; D] = C(D)$ if D is a disk centered at the origin. Using the same pull-back Π as in the proof of the theorem and with

$$X = \{(z^2, \bar{z}^2(1+iz^3)^2 : z \in D\}$$

one now finds

$$X_1 = \{(z, \bar{z}(1+iz^3)): z \in D\}$$

$$X_2 = \{(-z, -\bar{z}(1+iz^3)): z \in D\} = \{(z, \bar{z}(1-iz^3)): z \in D\}_{\text{borng all beliefs above}}$$

$$X_3 = \{(-z, \bar{z}(1+iz^3)): z \in D\}$$

$$X_4 = \{(z, -\bar{z}(1+iz^3)): z \in D\} = \{(-z, \bar{z}(1-iz^3)): z \in D\}.$$

as in the proof of the theorem that
$$[z^2, \bar{z}^2(1+iz^3)^2; D] = C(D)$$
.

3 Remarks

antiholomorphic function G? In the light of the theorem and the examples above one might even conjecture that $[z^2, \bar{z}^2(1+g(z))^2; D] \neq C(D)$ for every g with $|q_{\tau}(0)| < |q_{\tau}(0)|$. (2) It is not clear whether the theorem can be generalized to the situation where

Use $p(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = {\zeta_1}^3 + {\zeta_2}^3$ to show that $X_1 \cup X_2$ is polynomially convex and

 $p(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = -\zeta_1^3 + \zeta_2^3$ to show that $X_3 \cup X_4$ is polynomially convex. It follows

(1) Is it true (if z^2 and G separate the points of D) that $[z^2, G; D] \neq C(D)$ for every

- F and G behave like z^m and \bar{z}^m with m > 2. So there is nothing known about [F, G; D] for this case (except for even values of m: in this situation we know that there exist examples with $[F, G; D] \neq C(D)$.
- (3) Consider once again the situation that F and G are of the form $F(z) = z^m (1 + f(z)), G(z) = \bar{z}^n (1 + g(z))$ where f and g are functions defined in a neigborhood of the origin, with f(0) = 0, g(0) = 0. The functions f and g were supposed to be of class C^1 but if one is willing to drop this differentiability condition, just assuming continuity of f and g, then one can find a counterexample for the case m = n in the following way.

Choose sequences (a_k) , (r_k) , (R_k) of positive numbers converging to 0 and such that $0 < r_k < R_k$ and $a_{k+1} + R_{k+1} < a_k - R_k$ for each k.

Let $D_k = \{|z - a_k| \le r_k\}$ and $E_k = \{|z - a_k| \le R_k\}, k = 1, 2, 3, ...$ Let $F(z) = z^m$ and define a modification G of the function $\bar{z}^m + \bar{z}^{m+1}$ on the complex plane in the following manner:

$$G(z) = \overline{z}^m + \overline{z}^{m+1}$$
 outside $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots$, in particular $g(0) = 0$
 $G(z) = a_k^m + a_k^{m+1}$ on D_k .

For an appropriate choice of the sequences (r_k) and (R_k) and the values of G on the sets $E_k - D_k$ the function q is continuous and moreover the functions F and

that the generators of the

(-z)): $z \in D$

erence [9] of [P]). With

(-z)): $z \in D$.

nomially convex. Now ctors are replaced by

 $+b\bar{z}^2+\bar{z}r(z)$

In a similar way one s only the origin in \mathbb{C}^2 s polynomially convex. similarly that $X_3 \cup X_4$ $p(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \zeta_1 \zeta_2$. Since

positive real axis and l axis, it follows that Sibony's theorem and the proof of Theorem 1

0. It can happen that it is also possible that

for (sufficiently small)

G separate the points. For any disk D centered at 0 the elements of [F, G; D] are analytic on the interior of all sets D_k which belong to D. So for any such disk $D: [F, G; D] \neq C(D)$.

Acknowledgement. The second author wishes to express his gratitude to the Department of Mathematics of Maynooth College for its hospitality during his visit in May 1991.

References

- [P] de Paepe, P.J.: Approximation on a disk I. Math. Z. 212 (1993)
- [T] Thomas, P.: Private communication

Note added in proof $Q = \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{\delta}{2}(1-1)\mathbb{E}_{-1}(1)\right)\right) = \left\{Q = \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{\delta}{2}(1+1)\mathbb{E}_{-1}(1-1)\right)\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\delta}{2}(1-1)\mathbb{E}_{-1}(1-1)\right)\right)$

The second author recently proved a generalization of the theorem for the situation where F and G behave like z^m and \bar{z}^m with m > 2.

